

A Statistical Mechanical Interpretation of Instantaneous Codes

Kohtaro Tadaki

Research and Development Initiative, Chuo University
1-13-27 Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8551, Japan
tadaki@kc.chuo-u.ac.jp

Abstract—In this paper we develop a statistical mechanical interpretation of the noiseless source coding scheme based on an absolutely optimal instantaneous code. The notions in statistical mechanics such as statistical mechanical entropy, temperature, and thermal equilibrium are translated into the context of noiseless source coding. Especially, it is discovered that the temperature 1 corresponds to the average codeword length of an instantaneous code in this statistical mechanical interpretation of noiseless source coding scheme. This correspondence is also verified by the investigation using box-counting dimension. Using the notion of temperature and statistical mechanical arguments, some information-theoretic relations can be derived in the manner which appeals to intuition.

I. INTRODUCTION

We introduce a statistical mechanical interpretation to the noiseless source coding scheme based on an absolutely optimal instantaneous code. The notions in statistical mechanics such as statistical mechanical entropy, temperature, and thermal equilibrium are translated into the context of noiseless source coding.

We identify a coded message by an instantaneous code with an energy eigenstate of a quantum system treated in statistical mechanics, and the length of the coded message with the energy of the eigenstate. The discreteness of the length of coded message naturally corresponds to statistical mechanics based on quantum mechanics and not on classical mechanics. This is because the energy of a quantum system takes discrete value while an energy takes continuous value in classical physics in general. Especially, in this statistical mechanical interpretation of noiseless source coding, the energy of the corresponding quantum system is bounded to the above, and therefore the system has negative temperature. We discover that the temperature 1 corresponds to the average codeword length of an instantaneous code in the interpretation. This correspondence is also verified by the investigation based on box-counting dimension.

Note that, we do not stick to the mathematical strictness of the argument in this paper. We respect the statistical mechanical intuition in order to shed light on a hidden statistical mechanical aspect of information theory, and therefore make an argument on the same level of mathematical strictness as statistical mechanics.

II. INSTANTANEOUS CODES

We start with some notation on instantaneous codes from information theory [9], [1], [3].

For any set S , $\#S$ denotes the number of elements in S . We denote the set of all finite binary strings by $\{0, 1\}^*$. For any $s \in \{0, 1\}^*$, $|s|$ is the length of s . We define an *alphabet* to be any nonempty finite set.

Let X be an arbitrary random variable with an alphabet $\mathcal{H}$ and a probability mass function $p_X(x) = \Pr\{X = x\}$, $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Then the *entropy* $H(X)$ of X is defined by

$$H(X) \equiv - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{H}} p_X(x) \log p_X(x),$$

where the log is to the base 2. We will introduce the notion of a statistical mechanical entropy later. Thus, in order to distinguish $H(X)$ from it, we particularly call $H(X)$ the *Shannon entropy* of X . A subset S of $\{0, 1\}^*$ is called a *prefix-free set* if no string in S is a prefix of any other string in S . An *instantaneous code* C for the random variable X is an injective mapping from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\{0, 1\}^*$ such that $C(\mathcal{H}) \equiv \{C(x) | x \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is a prefix-free set. For each $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $C(x)$ is called the *codeword* corresponding to x and $|C(x)|$ is denoted by $l(x)$. A sequence $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$ with $x_i \in \mathcal{H}$ is called a *message*. On the other hand, the finite binary string $C(x_1)C(x_2) \cdots C(x_N)$ is called the *coded message* for a message $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$.

An instantaneous code play an important role in the noiseless source coding problem described as follows. Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N$ be independent identically distributed random variables drawn from the probability mass function $p_X(x)$. The objective of the noiseless source coding problem is to minimize the length of the binary string $C(x_1)C(x_2) \cdots C(x_N)$ for a message $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$ generated by the random variables $\{X_i\}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. For that purpose, it is sufficient to consider the *average codeword length* $L_X(C)$ of an instantaneous code C for the random variable X , which is defined by

$$L_X(C) \equiv \sum_{x \in \mathcal{H}} p_X(x) l(x)$$

independently on the value of N . We can then show that $L_X(C) \geq H(X)$ for any instantaneous code C for the random variable X . Hence, the Shannon entropy gives the data compression limit for the noiseless source coding problem based on instantaneous codes. Thus, it is important to consider

the notion of absolutely optimality of an instantaneous code, where we say that an instantaneous code C for the random variable X is *absolutely optimal* if $L_X(C) = H(X)$. We can see that an instantaneous code C is absolutely optimal if and only if $p_X(x) = 2^{-l(x)}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Finally, for each $x^N = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \in \mathcal{H}^N$, we define $p_X(x^N)$ as $p_X(x_1)p_X(x_2) \cdots p_X(x_N)$.

III. STATISTICAL MECHANICAL INTERPRETATION

In this section, we develop a statistical mechanical interpretation of the noiseless source coding by an instantaneous code. In what follows, we assume that an instantaneous code C for a random variable X is absolutely optimal.

In statistical mechanics [7], [11], [8], we consider a quantum system $\mathcal{S}_{\text{total}}$ which consists in a large number of identical quantum subsystems. Let N be a number of such subsystems. For example, $N \sim 10^{22}$ for 1 cm^3 of a gas at room temperature. We assume here that each quantum subsystem can be distinguishable from others. Thus, we deal with quantum particles which obey Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics and not Bose-Einstein statistics or Fermi-Dirac statistics. Under this assumption, we can identify the i th quantum subsystem $\mathcal{S}_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, N$. In quantum mechanics, any quantum system is described by a quantum state completely. In statistical mechanics, among all quantum states, energy eigenstates are of particular importance. Any energy eigenstate of each subsystem $\mathcal{S}_i$ can be specified by a number $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, called a *quantum number*, where the subsystem in the energy eigenstate specified by n has the energy E_n . Then, any energy eigenstate of the system $\mathcal{S}_{\text{total}}$ can be specified by an N -tuple $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N)$ of quantum numbers. If the state of the system $\mathcal{S}_{\text{total}}$ is the energy eigenstate specified by $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N)$, then the state of each subsystem $\mathcal{S}_i$ is the energy eigenstate specified by n_i and the system $\mathcal{S}_{\text{total}}$ has the energy $E_{n_1} + E_{n_2} + \dots + E_{n_N}$. Then, the fundamental postulate of statistical mechanics is stated as follows.

Fundamental Postulate: If the energy of the system $\mathcal{S}_{\text{total}}$ is known to have a constant value in the range between E and $E + \delta E$, where δE is the indeterminacy in measurement of the energy of the system $\mathcal{S}_{\text{total}}$, then the system $\mathcal{S}_{\text{total}}$ is equally likely to be in any energy eigenstate specified by $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N)$ such that $E \leq E_{n_1} + E_{n_2} + \dots + E_{n_N} \leq E + \delta E$.

Let $\Omega(E, N)$ be the total number of energy eigenstates of $\mathcal{S}_{\text{total}}$ specified by $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N)$ such that $E \leq E_{n_1} + E_{n_2} + \dots + E_{n_N} \leq E + \delta E$. The above postulate states that any energy eigenstate of $\mathcal{S}_{\text{total}}$ whose energy lies between E and $E + \delta E$ occurs with the probability $1/\Omega(E, N)$. This uniform distribution of energy eigenstates whose energy lies between E and $E + \delta E$ is called a *microcanonical ensemble*. In statistical mechanics, the *entropy* $S(E, N)$ of the system $\mathcal{S}_{\text{total}}$ is then defined by

$$S(E, N) \equiv k \ln \Omega(E, N),$$

where k is a positive constant, called the *Boltzmann Constant*, and the $\ln$ denotes the natural logarithm. Note that,

in statistical mechanics, the entropy $S(E, N)$ is normally estimated to first order in N and E . Thus the magnitude of the indeterminacy δE of the energy does not matter unless it is too small. The *temperature* $T(E, N)$ of the system $\mathcal{S}_{\text{total}}$ is defined by

$$\frac{1}{T(E, N)} \equiv \frac{\partial S}{\partial E}(E, N).$$

Thus the temperature is a function of E and N . The average energy ε per one subsystem is given by E/N .

Now we give a statistical mechanical interpretation to the noiseless source coding scheme based on an instantaneous code. Let X be an arbitrary random variable with an alphabet $\mathcal{H}$, and let C be an absolutely optimal instantaneous code for the random variable X . Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N$ be independent identically distributed random variables drawn from the probability mass function $p_X(x)$ for a large N , say $N \sim 10^{22}$. We relate the noiseless source coding based on C to the above statistical mechanics as follows. The sequence $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N$ corresponds to the quantum system $\mathcal{S}_{\text{total}}$, where each X_i corresponds to the i th quantum subsystem $\mathcal{S}_i$. We relate $x \in \mathcal{H}$, or equivalently, $C(x)$ to an energy eigenstate of a subsystem, and we relate $l(x) = |C(x)|$ to an energy E_n of the energy eigenstate of the subsystem. Then a sequence $(x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathcal{H}^N$, or equivalently, a finite binary string $C(x_1) \cdots C(x_N)$ corresponds to an energy eigenstate of $\mathcal{S}_{\text{total}}$ specified by $(n_1, \dots, n_N)$. Thus, $l(x_1) + \dots + l(x_N) = |C(x_1) \cdots C(x_N)|$ corresponds to the energy $E_{n_1} + \dots + E_{n_N}$ of the energy eigenstate of $\mathcal{S}_{\text{total}}$.

We define a subset $C(L, N)$ of $\{0, 1\}^*$ as the set of all coded messages $C(x_1) \cdots C(x_N)$ whose length lies between L and $L + \delta L$. Then $\Omega(L, N)$ is defined as $\#C(L, N)$. Therefore $\Omega(L, N)$ is the total number of coded messages whose length lies between L and $L + \delta L$. We can see that if $C(x_1) \cdots C(x_N) \in C(L, N)$, then $2^{-L} \leq p(x^N) \leq 2^{-(L+\delta L)}$. This is because C is an absolutely optimal instantaneous code. Thus all coded messages $C(x_1) \cdots C(x_N) \in C(L, N)$ occur with the probability 2^{-L} . Note here that we care nothing about the magnitude of δL , as in the case of statistical mechanics. Thus, given that the length of coded message is L , all coded messages occur with the same probability $1/\Omega(L, N)$. We introduce a micro-canonical ensemble on the noiseless source coding in this manner. Thus we can develop a certain sort of statistical mechanics on the noiseless source coding scheme.

The *statistical mechanical entropy* $S(L, N)$ of the instantaneous code C is defined by

$$S(L, N) \equiv \log \Omega(L, N).$$

The *temperature* $T(L, N)$ of C is then defined by

$$\frac{1}{T(L, N)} \equiv \frac{\partial S}{\partial L}(L, N).$$

Thus the temperature is a function of L and N . The average length λ of coded message per one codeword is given by L/N . The average length λ corresponds to the average energy ε in the statistical mechanics above.

IV. PROPERTIES OF STATISTICAL MECHANICAL ENTROPY

In statistical mechanics, it is important to know the values of the energy E_n of subsystem $\mathcal{S}_i$ for all quantum numbers n , since the values determine the entropy $S(E, N)$ of the quantum system $\mathcal{S}_{\text{total}}$. Corresponding to this fact, the knowledge of $l(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ is important to calculate $S(L, N)$. We investigate some properties of $S(L, N)$ and $T(L, N)$ based on $l(x)$ in the following.

As is well known in statistical mechanics, if the energy of a quantum system $\mathcal{S}_{\text{total}}$ is bounded to the above, then the system can have negative temperature. The same situation happens in our statistical mechanics developed on an instantaneous code C , since there are only finite codewords of C . We define $l_{\min}$ and $l_{\max}$ as $\min\{l(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{H}\}$ and $\max\{l(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{H}\}$, respectively. Given N , the statistical mechanical entropy $S(L, N)$ is a unimodal function of L and takes nonzero value only between $Nl_{\min}$ and $Nl_{\max}$. Let L_0 be the value L which maximizes $S(L, N)$. If $L < L_0$ then $T(L, N) > 0$. On the other hand, if $L > L_0$ then $T(L, N) < 0$. The temperature $T(L, N)$ takes $\pm\infty$ at $L = L_0$.

According to the method of Boltzmann and Planck (see e.g. [11]), we can show that

$$S(L, N) = NH(G(C, T(L, N))), \quad (1)$$

where $G(C, T)$ is the random variable with the alphabet $\mathcal{H}$ and the probability mass function $p_{G(C, T)}(x) = \Pr\{G(C, T) = x\}$ defined by

$$p_{G(C, T)}(x) \equiv \frac{2^{-l(x)/T}}{\sum_{a \in \mathcal{H}} 2^{-l(a)/T}}.$$

The temperature $T(L, N)$ is implicitly determined through the equation

$$\frac{L}{N} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{H}} l(x) p_{G(C, T(L, N))}(x) \quad (2)$$

as a function of L and N . These properties of $S(L, N)$ and $T(L, N)$ are derived only based on a combinatorial aspect of $S(L, N)$.

Now, let us take into account the probabilistic issue given by the random variables $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N$. Since the instantaneous code C is absolutely optimal, a particular coded message of length L occurs with probability 2^{-L} . Thus the probability that some coded message of length L occurs is given by $2^{-L}\Omega(L, N)$. Hence, by differentiating $2^{-L}\Omega(L, N)$ on L and setting the result to 0, we can determine the most probable length L^* of coded message, given N . Thus we have the relation

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial L} \{-L + S(L, N)\} \right|_{(L, N) = (L^*, N)} = 0,$$

which is satisfied by L^* . It follows that $T(L^*, N) = 1$. Thus, the temperature 1 corresponds to the most probable length L^* . On the other hand, $p_{G(C, 1)}(x) = 2^{-l(x)}$ at $T(L^*, N) = 1$, and therefore, by (2), we have $L^*/N = H(X) = L_X(C)$. Since C is absolutely optimal, this result is consistent with the law of large numbers. Thus, the temperature 1 corresponds to

the average codeword length $L_X(C)$, which is equal to the average length λ of coded message per one codeword at the temperature 1.

V. THERMAL EQUILIBRIUM BETWEEN TWO INSTANTANEOUS CODES

Let X^I be an arbitrary random variable with an alphabet $\mathcal{H}^I$, and let C^I be an absolutely optimal instantaneous code for the random variable X^I . Let $X_1^I, X_2^I, \dots, X_{N^I}^I$ be independent identically distributed random variables drawn from the probability mass function $p_{X^I}(x)$ for a large N^I . On the other hand, let X^II be an arbitrary random variable with an alphabet $\mathcal{H}^{II}$, and let C^{II} be an absolutely optimal instantaneous code for the random variable X^{II} . Let $X_1^{II}, X_2^{II}, \dots, X_{N^{II}}^{II}$ be independent identically distributed random variables drawn from the probability mass function $p_{X^{II}}(x)$ for a large N^{II} .

Consider the following problem: Find the most probable values L^I and L^{II} , given that the sum $L^I + L^{II}$ of the length L^I of coded message by C^I for the random variables $\{X_i^I\}$ and the length L^{II} of coded message by C^{II} for the random variables $\{X_j^{II}\}$ is equal to L .

In order to solve this problem, the statistical mechanical notion of “thermal equilibrium” can be used. We first note that a particular coded message by C^I of length L_I and a particular coded message by C^{II} of length L_{II} occur with probability $2^{-L_I}2^{-L_{II}} = 2^{-L}$, since the instantaneous codes C^I and C^{II} are absolutely optimal. Thus, any particular pair of coded messages by C^I and C^{II} occurs with an equal probability, given that the total length of coded messages for $\{X_i^I\}$ and $\{X_j^{II}\}$ is L . Therefore, the most probable allocation L_I^* and L_{II}^* of $L = L_I + L_{II}$ maximizes the product $\Omega_I(L_I, N_I)\Omega_{II}(L_{II}, N_{II})$. We see that this condition is equivalent to the equality:

$$T_I(L_I^*, N_I) = T_{II}(L_{II}^*, N_{II}),$$

where the functions T_I and T_{II} are the temperature of C^I and C^{II} , respectively. This equality corresponds to the condition on the thermal equilibrium between two systems, given a total energy, in statistical mechanics. Using (2), the value of $T_I(L_I^*, N_I) = T_{II}(L_{II}^*, N_{II})$ is obtained by solving the equation on T :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{N^I}{L} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{H}^I} |C^I(x)| p_{G(C^I, T)}(x) + \\ & \frac{N^{II}}{L} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{H}^{II}} |C^{II}(x)| p_{G(C^{II}, T)}(x) \\ & = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, again by (2), the most probable values L_I^* and L_{II}^* are determined.

VI. DIMENSION OF CODED MESSAGES

The notion of dimension plays an important role in fractal geometry [6]. In this section, we investigate our statistical mechanical interpretation of the noiseless source coding from the point of view of dimension. Let F be a bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}$, and let $N_n(F)$ be the number of 2^{-n} -mesh cubes that

intersect F , where 2^{-n} -mesh cube is a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ in the form of $[m2^{-n}, (m+1)2^{-n}]$ for some integer m . The *box-counting dimension* $\dim_B F$ of F is then defined by

$$\dim_B F \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N_n(F)}{n}.$$

Let $\{0, 1\}^\infty = \{b_1 b_2 b_3 \dots \mid b_i = 0, 1 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be the set of all infinite binary strings. In [10] we investigate the dimension of sets of coded messages of infinite length, where the number of distinct codewords is finite or infinite. In a similar manner, we investigate the set of coded messages of infinite length by an absolutely optimal instantaneous code C .

By (2), the ratio L/N is uniquely determined by temperature T . Thus, by letting $L, N \rightarrow \infty$ while keeping the ratio L/N constant, we can regard the set $C(L, N)$ as a subset of $\{0, 1\}^\infty$. This kind of limit is called the *thermodynamic limit* in statistical mechanics. Taking the thermodynamic limit, we denote $C(L, N)$ by $F(T)$, where T is related to the limit value of L/N through (2). Although $F(T)$ is a subset of $\{0, 1\}^\infty$, we can regard $F(T)$ as a subset of $[0, 1]$ by identifying $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^\infty$ with the real number $0.\alpha$. In this manner, we can consider the box-counting dimension $\dim_B F(T)$ of $F(T)$.

We investigate the dependency of $\dim_B F(T)$ on temperature T with $-\infty \leq T \leq \infty$. First it can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_B F(T) &= \lim_{L, N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \Omega(L, N)}{L} \\ &= \lim_{L, N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(L, N)}{L}, \end{aligned}$$

where the limits are taken while satisfying (2) for each T . Thus the statistical mechanical entropy $S(L, N)$ and the box-counting dimension $\dim_B F(T)$ of $F(T)$ are closely related. By (1) and (2), we can obtain, as an explicit formula of T ,

$$\dim_B F(T) = \frac{1}{T} + \frac{1}{\lambda(T)} \log \sum_{x \in \mathcal{H}} 2^{-l(x)/T}, \quad (3)$$

where $\lambda(T)$ is defined by

$$\lambda(T) \equiv \sum_{x \in \mathcal{H}} l(x) p_{G(C, T)}(x).$$

We define the “degeneracy factors” $d_{\min}$ and $d_{\max}$ of the lowest and highest “energies” by $d_{\min} \equiv \#\{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid l(x) = l_{\min}\}$ and $d_{\max} \equiv \#\{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid l(x) = l_{\max}\}$, respectively. Note here that since C is assumed to be absolutely optimal, $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{H}} 2^{-l(x)} = 1$ and therefore $d_{\max}$ can be shown to be an even number. In the increasing order of the ratio L/N (i.e. $\lambda(T)$), we see from (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow +0} \dim_B F(T) &= \frac{\log d_{\min}}{l_{\min}}, \\ \dim_B F(1) &= 1, \\ \lim_{T \rightarrow \pm\infty} \dim_B F(T) &= \frac{n \log n}{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{H}} l(x)}, \\ \lim_{T \rightarrow -0} \dim_B F(T) &= \frac{\log d_{\max}}{l_{\max}}. \end{aligned}$$

We can show that $n \log n < \sum_{x \in \mathcal{H}} l(x)$ unless all codewords have the same length, and obviously $\log d_{\min}/l_{\min} < 1$ and $\log d_{\max}/l_{\max} < 1$ except for such a trivial case. Thus, in general, the dimension $\dim_B F(T)$ is maximized at the temperature $T = 1$. This can be checked using (3) based on the differentiation of $\dim_B F(T)$. That is, we can show that, if all codewords do not have the same length, then the following hold:

- (i) $\frac{d}{dT} \dim_B F(T) \Big|_{T=T_0} = 0$ if and only if $T_0 = 1$,
- (ii) $\frac{d^2}{dT^2} \dim_B F(T) \Big|_{T=1} < 0$.

Note that all coded messages $C(x_1)C(x_2)\dots$ of infinite length form the set $\{0, 1\}^\infty$ and therefore the interval $[0, 1]$, since C is an absolutely optimal instantaneous code. Thus, since $\dim_B F(1)$ is equal to $\dim_B [0, 1]$, the set $F(1)$ is as rich as the set $[0, 1]$ in a certain sense. This can be explained as follows. Since $L/N = L_X(C)$ at the temperature $T = 1$, as seen in Section IV, by the law of large numbers, the length of coded message for a message of length N is likely to equal $N L_X(C)$, for a sufficiently large N . Thus $F(1)$ contains almost all finite binary string of length L . In other words, $F(1)$ consists in coded messages for all messages which form the typical set in a sense.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have developed a statistical mechanical interpretation of the noiseless source coding scheme based on an absolutely optimal instantaneous code. The notions in statistical mechanics such as statistical mechanical entropy, temperature, and thermal equilibrium are translated into the context of information theory. Especially, it is discovered that the temperature 1 corresponds to the average codeword length $L_X(C)$ in this statistical mechanical interpretation of information theory. This correspondence is also verified by the investigation using box-counting dimension. The argument is not necessarily mathematically rigorous. However, using the notion of temperature and statistical mechanical arguments, several information-theoretic relations can be derived in the manner which appeals to intuition.

A statistical mechanical interpretation of the general case where the underlying instantaneous code is not necessarily absolutely optimal is reported in another work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to the 21st Century COE Program and the Research and Development Initiative of Chuo University for the financial supports.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. B. Ash, *Information Theory*. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1990.
- [2] C. S. Calude and M. A. Stay, “Natural halting probabilities, partial randomness, and zeta functions,” *Inform. and Comput.*, vol. 204, pp. 1718–1739, 2006.
- [3] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1991.

- [4] A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni, *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*, 2nd ed. Springer, New York, 1998.
- [5] P. A. M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, 4th ed. Oxford University Press, London, 1958.
- [6] K. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry, Mathematical Foundations and Applications*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Chichester, 1990.
- [7] F. Reif, *Fundamentals of Statistical and Thermal Physics*. McGraw-Hill, Inc., Singapore, 1965.
- [8] D. Ruelle, *Statistical Mechanics, Rigorous Results*, 3rd ed. Imperial College Press and World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 1999.
- [9] C. E. Shannon, "A mathematical theory of communication," *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, vol. 27, pt. I, pp. 379–423, 1948; pt. II, pp. 623–656, 1948.
- [10] K. Tadaki, "A generalization of Chaitin's halting probability Ω and halting self-similar sets," *Hokkaido Math. J.*, vol. 31, pp. 219–253, 2002. Electronic Version Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/nlin/0212001>
- [11] M. Toda, R. Kubo, and N. Saitô, *Statistical Physics I. Equilibrium Statistical Mechanics*, 2nd ed. Springer, Berlin, 1992.